

Examiners' Report Principal Examiner Feedback

January 2019 WHI01/1A

Pearson Edexcel International GCE In History (WHI0) Paper 1A

Edexcel and BTEC Qualifications

Edexcel and BTEC qualifications are awarded by Pearson, the UK's largest awarding body. We provide a wide range of qualifications including academic, vocational, occupational and specific programmes for employers. For further information visit our qualifications websites at <u>www.edexcel.com</u> or <u>www.btec.co.uk</u>. Alternatively, you can get in touch with us using the details on our contact us page at <u>www.edexcel.com/contactus</u>.

Pearson: helping people progress, everywhere

Pearson aspires to be the world's leading learning company. Our aim is to help everyone progress in their lives through education. We believe in every kind of learning, for all kinds of people, wherever they are in the world. We've been involved in education for over 150 years, and by working across 70 countries, in 100 languages, we have built an international reputation for our commitment to high standards and raising achievement through innovation in education. Find out more about how we can help you and your students at: www.pearson.com/uk

Grade Boundaries

Grade boundaries for all papers can be found on the website at: <u>https://qualifications.pearson.com/en/support/support-topics/results-certification/grade-</u> <u>boundaries.html</u>

January 2019 Publications Code WHI01_1A_1901_ER All the material in this publication is copyright © Pearson Education Ltd 2019

Principal Examiner Report 2019 January

IA Level History (1A: France in Revolution 1774-99)

WHI01: International Advanced Subsidiary

It is important that centres take on board some generic comments which are based on the marking of the January cohort, and consider and apply these when preparing candidates for future examinations in this option.

- WHI01 is both a study in depth and a study of interpretations, and it is necessary for candidates to do both, at all levels in the mark scheme, in order to score marks. Ignoring the stated view in the question, and merely writing information that may be relevant to the general focus of the question does not fully meet the criteria for Level 1, and consequently none of the other levels. Even at Level 1 the mark scheme expects simple or generalised consideration of the stated view in the question. Some candidates paid very little attention to the stated view (ignoring it completely or sometimes only referring to it in the conclusion) and narrated or described other information that was either relevant or not to the actual question.
- In candidate responses, there was very little evidence seen of planning. As the examination is two hours long, implying that candidates might divide that time equally between the two essays they choose, it would seem sensible to devote some time (possibly no more than 10 minutes per question) to planning the structure of the answer to each question. That would hopefully ensure that when the answer is written the stated view is considered (Level 2, 3 and 4 all require, to varying degrees, understanding, analysis and exploration of the given view) and then other factors/views can follow, which will then allow the candidate to establish some criteria by which they are able to consider the importance, or not, of the given view and make some judgements. Those candidates who planned (this appeared on their examination script before they answered the question) invariably scored better than candidates who had not planned. Planned answers tended to score at the top of Level 3 and into, and including the top of Level 4, whereas unplanned answers meandered and judgements tended to be stated, rather than supported by valid criteria, and often achieved marks at the Level 2 and Level 3 boundary or below.
- The need to stress to candidates that in examination situations they must read the question carefully, and not take the question as an opportunity to write all they know about the topic, or answer a question they would have preferred that is near to the actual question, but not the actual question.
- There was some evidence of candidates running out of time, but they were very few. Impressing the need to plan essays in the examination is surely the remedy to this problem.

Option 1A France in Revolution 1774-99

- Question 1 proved popular, followed by question 2 and question 3, and question 4.
- In question 1 many candidates were able to consider whether taxation system rather than corruption at court was the main reason for discontent by comparing the impact of both and then reaching a judgement. However, some candidates choose to ignore the issue and listed the reasons for discontent in France.
- In question 2 candidates were able to discuss whether reforms of the Church were more significant than the constitution, but some wrote extensively about all the other reforms and did not address the issue in the question.
- In question 3 some candidates turned the issue round and stated that he was significant in the terror and ignored the stated issue which said he was not. Candidates must give some consideration to the stated issue and not simply ignore it.
- In question 4 (which was the least popular) candidates were able to consider some aspects of the issue.
- The example below achieved a high mark in Level three. It showed understanding of the issue, had knowledge but lacked some range and depth in places and there was an attempt to establish criteria for judgement.

SecK 19 Chosen question number: Question 1 Question 2 Question 3 Question 4 (This page is for your first answer) The taxation system was more significant as a cause of discontent in France, in the years before 1789, than was corruption at court, this could be argued. Taxahon system in France in the years" 1780's its worse with the involvement American Revolution From France to Support the against the Botish, a tremendous cost Americans billion y was in the American Revolution invested from France finance departments. France then was forced to establish new harsh taxes which mainly payed by the Third Estate like the tithe taxes and money more direct and indirect. taxes The introduction of this taxes wasn't * make Frances economy improve after spenditures on the American Revolution but also for Growing Histor Lows debts issued by the Seven Years War that were caused by Louis XVI grandtather Louis XV and this werest payed making Lovis XVI in take the throne and be sourcounded with crown debts pay. The American revolution just wersoned this Crown debts by having an increase on Frances deficits.

(This page is for your first answer) The increase of Lown deht and definit just mode ended up non affecting the nobles. anstachacy and made Third Eshite___ suffer. and. From economic collapse. His made King puis XVI introduce a new title named titles. VENIL which were payed by any estate gain mure h. power therefore quining particles SIX M. line. 105 Eling extempt from paying more taxes this Was Known as comption at court with King Laws XVI weating venal titles just to make ьF lok 20070micaly people like the Third Estate buy them affected t_{0} Yu. Therefore bere fited 11 comes de und hp. your the crown debts France had The texation system was more significant the 10

downlet Fonce, that the thou couraption at court fairly true as some Third Estate this was who. of Erench population were higely affected the majority taxition system introduced after the American with. the third Revolution, taxes a imposed , to Estate more Neg. to the rich men hist. author than and HTP/A Second Estate was hundly a unpopular. from the Third Investore people, point of now as the high class could alter investore the increase in transion ła. made them poor and mable to pay for their main diet to eat which was bread it was said that

(This page is for your first answer) three given tes of the Third Estates expenditures were on bread, also havests were caused and this made grain a really scare source, this me brad prices increased even more and therefore concluded into big unhappings from the Third Estate. Furthermore the propused land tax made by controller general Turgot produced big criticism from the anstactions who benefited from such privilizes, with such trantion made later controller general not learn Archibishop Boenne not learn trum Turgots mistakes and tried to impose again land taxation, but this time the parlements demanded for Estates General as this land tax contradicted the Ancien Regime traditions, the king Louis XVI supported his controler general Briene and exailed the Paris parlement to the town of Troyes the making the tond tax togetonte a real deals and mode the tong louis XVI be seen as a despatic tyrant concluding into the revolt of nubles. The land tax propusal by Louis XVI controller generals mand norevolt of nobles vas a example of the huge unhappiness made to noblity made by the introduction of transition land taxation and resulted into one of the most by revolts viseen in France to before known us the revolt of nobles.

(This page is for your first answer) On the other hand corruption at court was likely the most significant cause of discontent in France before the 1789, corruption at court such extremes that renal litles introduced this titles bought to earn power and extempt trom taxations was seen as massively corruptive from King LouisXVI as a could of just abolished such untair taxation system that was against the poorness of Third Estate, by this means that power was bought and only "righ ment" would afford this yeal titles, Low classes therefore were anyry and revellious vsystem of bying power

In conclusion, I think taxation, the before 1789 was much maximally more unpopular than it was corruption at of court at France as taxation system largely affected all of the estates in contrast with corruption at court which only affected low class people who weren't able to afford to buy such venal titles with the poorness of their situation, making them extremely unhappy because of this unfairness.

Chosen question number: Question 1 Question 2 Question 3 🖄 Question 4 (This page is for your second answer) By 1793 the Terror has been developed sistematically with the creation of new assemblys and laws created by the National Convention shuch us the comittee of Public Safety which dealt with enemies of the revolution and this Comittee then imposed radical laws such as the Law of Suspects, Law of Frinkwire, Law of Privial, all of this laws were extremely radical against counter-revolutionaries. Although Robespierre had a major influence influence in the creation of this laws known as the machinery of Terror he wasn't the only one who estublished from the comittee as there were mony more radical Jacobins like Robespierre members of the Committee such as Saint Just, Georges Couthon and a radical member of the Sons Coloffes Known as Caulot d'Herbois who could be largely accused of the cause of Terror in France because of their committed attractions. Therefore the actions of Robespierre having little significance in the creation of Terror between 1793-94

https://xtremepape.rs/

(This page is for your second answer) could be seen as a potential agreement, as said Robespierre wasn't the voly member the Comittee and therefore didn't have much influence or the Terror, on example of the little influence Robespierre had of the emergence of Terror was the Verdie Terror after the uprusings occured in Verdee in March 1793 remaining rebols builded up an armies of 60,000 men this rebels were contronted by radicalist member of the National Convention Jean-Baptiste conner he ordered two attacks which he succeeded to beat both armies of rebels and end all of the rebel army by December 1793 after the succeed of carrier he continared into producing genericles and burning of buildings around the Verdie was this attaches rere traws as the "hell columns" and Robespierre or the Comittee dich't command of this attractives of Verdee ocuvred at the kend of 1793 and Corners own radical was idea. The amount of deaths produced by Carrier on the Vendre region produced terror and fear among the French people and was a step forward towards the developenal The Terror. This tors shows an example of the little stynitican bre Robespierres actions did to the development of Terror with no involvement of Roberpiece at the Terror of Verdée in spiny of 1793.

(This page is for your second answer) Ferthermore the federalist revolts that were produced in summer of 1793 was another example of Robespierres little influence on the Terror. With the purge of the Girondins and Jacobin duminance a schous of anti-Jacobin revolts produced by the bourgeoisie who were against this to Jacobin dominance occured and this were known as the federalist revolts. Most impactfull places from the federalist revolts were Lyon, Menailles and Boudeaux, representatives on mission who were mande radical members from the convertion that were sent to fight counter-revolutionaries, were sent to places were federalist revolts took place, the most important and cahotic from all of this revolts occured in Lyon, Could d'Herbuise comitted extremely radical actions with geocrides from where the whole use Lyon area against anti-Jacohin populace new bourgeiste. The actions of the representatives on mission weren't ordered by Robespierre and instead by the committee, the federalist revolts and the attracities made by the representiatives on mission created Terror without the influence of Robespierre in many regions, this therefore federalist revolts show it wasn't Robespierre who comitted this actions of generides among anti Jacobins and instead it was representatives on mission, meaning again that Roberpierre had little influence on the Terror between 1793 and 1794.

(This page is for your second answer) On the other hand Robespierre did show significant actions that showed his influence on The Terror, by an example was the purge of the Granding me between March 1793 and April of 1793 Robespierre supported the scus-culottes in a method to establish maximum pries as the scus-culottes were still poor due to the First Coalition demandida to the French armies and was more assignments feed bread panted making value of currency drop by half, the Granding didn't like the idea of introducing a maximum price on bread and on April Robspierre ordered Morat & to accuse the Grandins of corrupt and so he succeeded in doing as Marat was great speaker but in revenge his accusiations were sentenced when Grandin leader Brissot as a put him Marat into trial, the journee of 2 June Followed with one wrest of 29 Grandins claimed by by Georges Couthon. In revenue for this wrest Churlotte Corday ended up assasinating Marat and the sporked Jarobins for the arrest of remaining birondins by Revolutionary Tribunals All Robespierce wonted was Jacobin deminance and he succeeded by abolishing the Giondin party although this then carried the terror of enti Scicobia dominimu with federalist revolts.

(This page is for your second answer) In Conclusion I think									
					Roberg			mostly	influeu
in	the	ener	ging.		Terro	(as	more	J	
		***	<i>.</i> , ,						

Pearson Education Limited. Registered company number 872828 with its registered office at 80 Strand, London, WC2R 0RL, United Kingdom